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## INTRODUCTION

Repeated administration of a dopamine D2 receptor antagonist, domperidone, to healthy animals progressively increases the phagocytic activity of neutrophil and monocyte peripheral blood populations leading to an increased resistance of these cells against in vitro experimental infection
with Leishmania amastigotes (unpublished data). This confers domperidone a potential use for prevention of canine leishmaniosis, in addition to its already reported clinical efficacy in the treatment of naturally diseased animals (Gómez-Ochoa P et al. 2009, Oliva G et al. 2010).

## OBJECTIVE

The aim of the study was to assess the efficacy of a treatment program based on domperidone for the prevention of canine leishmaniosis under real field conditions.

|  |  | Treated Group | Control Group | $p$ value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex <br> ( n and \%) | Males | 25 (56.8\%) | 25 (54.3\%) | 0.981 (1) |
|  | Females | 19 (43.2\%) | 21 (45.7\%) |  |
| Age (years) | mean (SD) range | $\begin{gathered} 5(2.2) \\ 1-10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5(2.3) \\ 1-10 \end{gathered}$ | 0.595 (2) |
| Weight <br> (kg) | mean (SD) range | $\begin{gathered} 20.3(10.83) \\ 6.5-54 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.4(8.46) \\ 7-43 \end{gathered}$ | 0.683 (2) |
| Breed ( n and \%) | Mongrel Other* | $\begin{aligned} & 13(29.5 \%) \\ & 31(70,5 \%) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23(50.0 \%) \\ & 23(50.0 \%) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 0.606 (3) |
| * up to 24 different breeds <br> (1) Pearson chi-square test <br> (2) Student's T-test <br> (3) Mann-Whitney Rank Sum test |  |  |  |  |

Table 1. Distribution of animal baseline characteristics and analysis of homogeneity between the two groups.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

- Ninety clinically healthy dogs, serologically negative to Leishmania (IFAT<1/40), living in a highly endemic geographic area in Valencia (Spain) were included, with the consent of their owners, in a clinical trial performed under the authorization of the Spanish Medicines Agency (AEMPS).
- Dogs were randomly distributed in two homogenous groups (Table 1):


## Treated group ( $n=44$ )

Oral suspension of domperidone at 0.5 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} /$ day during 30 consecutive days, on a 4-monthly basis, with the first treatment being started at the beginning of the Phlebotomus season.
Control group ( $n=46$ )
Non-treated.

- No other treatment norinsectrepellents were used.
-21-month follow-up period with periodic clinical examinations and serological determination of antiLeishmania antibody titers.
- Active infection / disease progression was considered when, at a given examination, a dog showed any clinical sign compatible with the disease + positive anti-Leishmania antibody titers (IFAT $\geq 1 / 80$ ).
- Main parameter = cumulate percentage of dogs with active infection / disease progression up to 12 and 21 months of follow-up.


## RESULTS

- The cumulate percentage of dogs showing active infection / disease progression was significantly lower in the domperidone-treated group both at month 12 and at month 21 of follow up period (Fig. 1).


Figure 1. Cumulate percentage of diseased dogs and statistical comparisons between groups (Pearson chisquare test).

- Dogs treated with domperidone offered a consistent and significantly higher degree of resistance to active infection / disease progression over time (Fig. 2).

Figure 2. Evolution (Kaplan Meyer estimates) of percentage of healthy animals in both groups thorough the whole 21-month follow-up period and statistical comparisons (Logrank test).
-The odds-ratios calculated for each period were $7.3(p=0.001)$ at month 12 and 7.2 ( $p<0.001$ ) at month 21, thus indicating that the overall risk (odds) for Domperidone-treated dogs to clinically develop canine leishmaniosis is quite 7 times lower than for not treated animals.

- Repeated treatment with Domperidone was well tolerated and accepted, with only two dogs showing a transient mild galactorrhea and two other dogs showing soft faeces.



## CONCLUSIONS

The results of this study demonstrate that the implementation of a strategic domperidone-based treatment program is highly efficacious in the prevention of canine leishmaniosis in endemic areas.
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